Special Functions in OpenMath

James H. Davenport Department of Computer Science, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, England J.H.Davenport@bath.ac.uk partially supported by the EU's OpenMath Thematic Network

With thanks to Stéphane Dalmas and the INRIA/UNSA team; Bruce Miller at NIST, and Bill Naylor (Bath and U.W.O.).

As usual, all errors are mine.

1 Preliminaries

The following principles were endorsed at the OpenMath Meeting at ISSAC 2001 and at the OpenMath Network Meeting in Berlin (August 2001).

- Many CDs, rather than a single "special functions CD".
- Short names, such as J, similar to mathematical notation.
- Use currying where appropriate, so that we can talk about J_{ν} rather than having to talk about $\lambda z : J(\nu, z)$.
- As in the work on elementary functions, to stick as closely as possible to Abramovitz and Stegun, and to be as precise as possible.

1.1 Difficulties with these

- Just how many CDs? A CD for Laguerre, with one symbol in, or a CD for all families of orthogonal polynomials, or what?
 I have probably used too many CDs.
- Just what names are appropriate?
- Where is currying appropriate?
 - Please tell me where you think I've got this wrong.
- How much should we follow A+S: symbols defined in footnotes or as alternates? Do we need erfc? Every last *positive* wrinkle??
- A+S is sometimes not helpful: see chapters 9 (Bessel for integer order; but formulae valid for all orders) vs 10 (Bessel of fractional order, meaning $n + \frac{1}{2}$).
- A+S has bugs: 6.1.23: $\ln \Gamma(\overline{z}) = \overline{\ln \Gamma(z)}$ is false: z = -2.5.

1.2 Difficulties with OpenMath

- Functions defined by ordinary differential equations: need a way of specifying the differential equations and boundary/initial conditions — odesoln1.ocd.
- Functions defined by contour integrals: we need a way of specifying contours and the restrictions on them contour1.ocd.
- No direct way of specifying the *n*-th total derivative: this is probably a bug even in MathML conformity.
- Need to specify a restricted range, e.g. only g_2 and g_3 exist as Weierstrass invariants:

extend the use of STS (but not the syntax).

• Need to specify Cauchy principal value of integrals: an attribute or a new symbol?

New symbol in calculus2.ocd.

- The 8+3 rule proves restrictive: I want to put the Weierstrass symbols inweierstrass.ocd, not weierstr.ocd. In fact, this rule is not followed already see relation1.ocd for example.
- Hard to express statements about uniqueness of solutions, or linear independence of solutions.

1.3 odesoln1.ocd

```
<OMBIND>
<OMS name="ODEsolution" cd="odesoln1"/>
<OMBVAR>
<OMV name="f"/>
<OMV name="x"/>
</OMBVAR>
```

Expression in f and x defining LHS of ODE (=0).

<OMA>

```
<OMS name="list" cd="list1"/>
```

List of expressions in f representing initial/boundary conditions.

```
</OMA>
</OMBIND>
```

1.4 contour1.ocd

The symbol path_description describes a contour. possibly not uniquely.

```
A contour from t to infinity, not crossing the negative real axis. <OMOBJ>
```

```
<OMBIND>
  <OMS name="path_description" cd="contour1"/>
  <OMBVAR>
    <OMVAR name="P"/>
  </OMBVAR>
  <OMV name="t"/>
  <OMS name="infinity" cd="nums1"/>
  <OMA>
    <OMS name="not" cd="logic1"/>
    <OMBIND>
      <OMS name="exists" cd="quant1"/>
      <OMBVAR>
        <OMV name="x"/>
      </OMBVAR>
      <OMA>
        <OMS name="and" cd="logic1"/>
        <OMA>
          <OMS name="gt" cd="relation1"/>
          <OMV name="x"/>
          <OMS name="zero" cd="alg1"/>
        </OMA>
        <OMA>
          <OMS name="le" cd="relation1"/>
          <OMA>
            <OMS name="real" cd="complex1"/>
            <OMA>
              <OMV name="P"/>
              <OMV name="x"/>
            </OMA>
          </OMA>
          <OMS name="zero" cd="alg1"/>
        </OMA>
        <OMA>
          <OMS name="eq" cd="relation1"/>
          <OMA>
            <OMS name="imaginary" cd="complex1"/>
            <OMA>
              <OMV name="P"/>
              <OMV name="x"/>
            </OMA>
          </OMA>
          <OMS name="zero" cd="alg1"/>
        </OMA>
      </OMA>
```

```
</OMBIND>
</OMA>
</OMBIND>
</OMOBJ>
```

2 The Real Problem: Analytic Continuation

$$\operatorname{Ei}(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} \, \mathrm{d}t : \qquad x > 0.$$

But, Ei is defined by analytic continuation for all z, continuous modulo a branch cut along the negative real axis.

How do we define this?

- Define a domain for Ei: **C** \ {0}. But how do we define the branch cut?
- Define a domain of continuity, i.e. $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\Re(z) < 0; \Im(z) = 0\}$. Then how do we say which side the branch cut adheres to?

Suggestions welcome.

3 Bessel Functions

- J_{ν} and $J_{-\nu}$ are two independent solutions to Bessel's equation.
- Except when ν is an integer, when

$$Y_{\nu}(z) = \lim_{\mu \to \nu} \frac{J_{\mu}(z) \cos(\mu \pi) - J_{-\mu}(z)}{\sin(\mu \pi)}$$

is the second solution.

- Unfortunately, the initial conditions at z = 0 are not always easy to state.
- $\operatorname{ber}_{\nu}(x) + i \operatorname{bei}_{\nu}(x) = J_{\nu}(xe^{3\pi i/4})$ do we need this, or the very many other variants?
- Need a domain expert (DLMF?)!